arXiv:1507.06611v4 [math.AP] 21 Aug 2015

# REGULARITY CRITERIA FOR THE 3D NAVIER-STOKES AND MHD EQUATIONS

ALEXEY CHESKIDOV AND MIMI DAI

Abstract. We prove that a solution to the 3D Navier-Stokes or MHD equations does not blow up at $t = T$ provided $\limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{T}_q}^{T} \|\Delta_q(\nabla \times u)\|_\infty \, dt$ is small enough, where $u$ is the velocity, $\Delta_q$ is the Littlewood-Paley projection, and $\mathcal{T}_q$ is a certain sequence such that $\mathcal{T}_q \to T$ as $q \to \infty$. This improves many existing regularity criteria.

KEY WORDS: Navier-Stokes equations; magneto-hydrodynamics system; regularity; blow-up criteria.
CLASSIFICATION CODE: 76D03, 35Q35.

## 1. Introduction

The three-dimensional incompressible magneto-hydrodynamics (MHD) equations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + u \cdot \nabla u - b \cdot \nabla b + \nabla p &= \nu \Delta u, \\ b_t + u \cdot \nabla b - b \cdot \nabla u &= \mu \Delta b, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot b &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Here $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $t \geq 0$, $u$ is the fluid velocity, $p$ is the fluid pressure and $b$ is the magnetic field. The parameter $\nu$ denotes the kinematic viscosity coefficient of the fluid, and $\mu$ is the reciprocal of the magnetic Reynolds number. The MHD equations are supplemented with initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad b(x, 0) = b_0(x), \tag{1.2}$$

where $u_0$ and $b_0$ are divergence free vector fields in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. When the magnetic field $b(x, t)$ vanishes, (1.1) reduce to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (NSE). Solutions to the MHD equations also share the same scaling property with solutions to the NSE, that is,

$$u_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \ b_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda b(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \ p_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^2 p(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$$

solve (1.1) with the initial data

$$u_{0\lambda} = \lambda u_0(\lambda x), \ b_{0\lambda} = \lambda b_0(\lambda x),$$

provided $u(x, t)$ and $b(x, t)$ solve (1.1) with the initial data $u_0(x)$ and $b_0(x)$. Spaces that are invariant under the above scaling are called critical. Examples of critical spaces include the Prodi-Serrin spaces

$$L^l(0, \infty; L^r), \qquad \frac{2}{l} + \frac{3}{r} = 1,$$

The work of the authors was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS–1108864.

or their Besov counterparts

$$L^l\left(0,\infty;B^{-1+\frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}}_{r,\infty}\right).$$

The existence of global regular solutions for the NSE and the MHD system in 3D is an outstanding open problem. The study of these models in critical spaces has been one of the focuses of research activities since the initial work of Kato [24]. It is known that if a solution belongs to a certain critical space, then it is regular. For instance, a smooth solution to the NSE $(0,T)$ does not blow up at $T$ if

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla\times u\|_\infty\,dt<\infty, \tag{1.3}$$

which is known as the Beale-Kato-Majda (BKM) condition [2]. This is the borderline of Prodi-Serrin criteria when the time integrability index is one, which does not require any dissipation and also applies to the Euler equations.

For the Euler equations, the BKM condition (1.3) was weakened by Planchon [28] to

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\sup_q\int_{T-\epsilon}^T \|\Delta_q(\nabla\times u)\|_\infty\,dt<c, \tag{1.4}$$

for small enough $c$, where $\Delta_q$ is the Littlewood-Paley projection.

For the 3D NSE, Cheskidov and Shyvdkoy [13] proved the following improvement of the Beale-Kato-Majda condition (1.3):

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla\times u_{\le Q}\|_{B^0_{\infty,\infty}}\,dt<\infty,\qquad \text{for some wavenumber}\qquad \Lambda(t)=2^{Q(t)}, \tag{1.5}$$

This condition is also weaker than all the Prodi-Serrin type regularity criteria. Indeed,

$$u_{\le Q}\in L^r(0,T;B^{-1+\frac{2}{r}}_{\infty,\infty})\qquad \text{for some}\qquad 1\le r<\infty$$

implies (1.5). Note that $r$ cannot be taken as $\infty$ here. A regularity criterion in the limit case $r=\infty$ has been established by Escauriaza, Seregin, and Sverak [18] in $L^\infty(0;T,L^3)$, and extended by Gallagher, Koch, and Planchon to $L^\infty(0,T;B^{-1+\frac{3}{s}}_{s,q})$ with $3<s,q<\infty$ in [19].

For the MHD system, Caflisch, Klapper and Steele [3] obtained the BKM-type condition in the inviscid case, but in terms of both $u$ and $b$. Cannone, Chen, and Miao [4] proved the extended version of the BKM criterion, as (1.4), but also in terms of both $u$ and $b$. In the viscous case, Wu [32] obtained some Prodi-Serrin type criteria which impose conditions on both $u$ and $b$. However there have been various indications, both numerically [21, 29] and theoretically, suggesting that the velocity field $u$ plays a dominant role in interactions between $u$ and $b$. This point is still not clear though. In fact, in [16], the authors showed that even when the initial velocity vanishes, one can observe norm inflation in velocity at a small time due to the interaction of the magnetic field and the velocity field (see also [9] for norm inflation results in a wider range of spaces). Nevertheless, a large amount of work has been devoted to establishing criteria which impose conditions only on the velocity, see [5, 6, 7, 22, 23, 26, 31, 34]. In particular, He, Xin, and Zhou [23, 34]

obtained the following Prodi-Serrin conditions for regularity:

$$\begin{aligned} &u \in L^l(0,T;L^r) \qquad \text{with} \qquad \frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}=1 \qquad \text{for } 3<r\le\infty; \\ &\nabla u \in L^l(0,T;L^r) \qquad \text{with} \qquad \frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}=2 \qquad \text{for } 3<r\le\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

He and Xin [23] also obtained the following regularity condition:

$$u \in C([0,T];L^3). \tag{1.7}$$

Finally, remarkable improvements were made by Chen, Miao and Zhang who obtained the extended BKM criterion (1.4) in terms of the velocity $u$ only [7], as well as the Prodi-Serrin regularity criterion in terms of Besov spaces [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} &u \in L^l(0,T;B^s_{r,\infty}) \qquad \text{with} \qquad \frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}=1+s, \\ &\frac{3}{1+s}<r\le\infty, -1<s\le 1, \qquad \text{and} \qquad (r,s)\neq(\infty,1). \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

In this paper, we will establish a new regularity criterion for the MHD system, which imposes condition only on low modes of the velocity field. The criterion resembles the extended Beale-Kato-Majda condition (1.4) [4, 7, 28], but in fact it is weaker as we take advantage of a dissipation wavenumber $\Lambda(t)$ similar to the one introduced by Cheskidov and Shvydkoy [13] for the 3D NSE. The wavenumber $\Lambda(t)$, defined for each individual solution (in terms of $u$ only), separates the inertial range from the dissipation range where the viscous terms $\Delta u$ and $\Delta b$ dominate. The dissipation wavenumber is defined so that the critical $B^{-1+\frac{3}{r}}_{\infty,\infty}$ norm of $u$ is small above $\Lambda$ for some $2\le r<6$. So it is larger than the dissipation wavenumber for the NSE defined with $r=\infty$ in [13]. This is due to the fact that there are less cancellations and more terms to control for the MHD system. It is worth mentioning that this method is also applied to the supercritical quasi-geostrophic equation in [14] and to the Hall-magneto-hydrodynamics system in [15]. Regularity criteria obtained in these papers are weaker than all the corresponding Prodi-Serrin type criteria. The dissipation wavenumber is also related to the determining wavenumber used in [10, 11] to estimate the number of determining modes for fluid flows.

Define the dissipation wavenumber $\Lambda(t)$ as

$$\Lambda(t)=\min\left\{\lambda_q : \lambda_p^{-1+\frac{3}{r}}\|u_p(t)\|_r < c_r\min\{\nu,\mu\}, \forall p>q, q\in\mathbb{N}\right\}, \tag{1.9}$$

where $\lambda_q=2^q$, $u_p=\Delta_p u$ is the Littlewood-Paley projection of $u$, and $c_r$ is an adimensional constant that depends only on $r$. Here $r\in[2,6)$ in the case of the the MHD system, and $r\in[2,\infty]$ for the NSE (when $b\equiv 0$). Let $Q(t)\in\mathbb{N}$ be such that $\lambda_{Q(t)}=\Lambda_r(t)$.

Our main result states as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $(u,b)$ be a weak solution to (1.1) on $[0,T]$. Assume that $(u(t),b(t))$ is regular on $(0,T)$, and*

$$\limsup_{q\to\infty}\int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(\tau)}\lambda_q\|u_q\|_\infty\,d\tau \le c_r, \tag{1.10}$$

*with some $2\le r<6$ ($2\le r\le\infty$ when $b\equiv 0$). Then $(u(t),b(t))$ is regular on $(0,T]$.*

We will show that (1.10) is weaker than various existing regularity criteria. Indeed, define

$$\mathcal{T}_q = \sup\{t \in (T/2, T) : Q(\tau) < q \ \forall \tau \in (T/2, t)\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{T}_q$ is increasing. In addition, we show that

$$\lim_{q\to\infty} \mathcal{T}_q = T.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Regularity condition* (1.10) *is satisfied provided one of the following holds.*

(1) $\limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{T}_q}^{T} \|\Delta_q(\nabla \times u)\|_\infty \, dt \le c_r.$

(2) $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} \|\Delta_q(\nabla \times u)\|_\infty \, dt \le c_r.$

(3) $\int_0^T \sup_{q\le Q(t)} \|\Delta_q(\nabla \times u)\|_\infty \, dt < \infty.$

(4) $\limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_0^T 1_{q\le Q(t)} \left(\lambda_q^{-1+\frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}} \|u_q(t)\|_r\right)^l dt \le c_r^l \min\{\nu,\mu\}^{l-1}$, $1 \le l < \infty$, $2 \le r < 6$ *(*$2 \le r \le \infty$ *when* $b \equiv 0$*).*

(5) $\limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{T}_q}^{T} \left(\lambda_q^{-1+\frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}} \|u_q(t)\|_r\right)^l dt \le c_r^l \min\{\nu,\mu\}^{l-1}$, $1 \le l < \infty$, $2 \le r < 6$ *(*$2 \le r \le \infty$ *when* $b \equiv 0$*).*

(6) $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} \left(\lambda_q^{-1+\frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}} \|u_q(t)\|_r\right)^l dt \le c_r^l \min\{\nu,\mu\}^{l-1}$, $1 \le l < \infty$, $2 \le r < 6$ *(*$2 \le r \le \infty$ *when* $b \equiv 0$*).*

(7) $\int_0^T \left(\|u_{\le Q(t)}\|_{B^{-1+\frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}}_{r,\infty}}\right)^l dt < \infty$, $1 \le l < \infty$, $2 \le r < 6$ *(*$2 \le r \le \infty$ *when* $b \equiv 0$*).*

(8) $\limsup_{t\to T-} \|u(t) - u(T)\|_{B^{-1+\frac{3}{r}}_{r,\infty}} \le \frac{c_r}{2}$, $2 \le r < 6$ *(*$2 \le r \le \infty$ *when* $b \equiv 0$*).*

For the 3D NSE, conditions (6), (8), and (3), (7) were obtained by Cheskidov, Shvydkoy in [12] and [13] respectively. Regarding the MHD system, condition (7) (and hence (4), (5), and (6)) is weaker than (1.6), (1.8) for $r < 6$, and condition (8) is weaker than (1.7). Conditions (1) and (2) are weaker than (1.4) for both the 3D NSE and MHD system.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some notations, recall the Littlewood-Paley theory and definitions of weak and regular solutions. Section 3 is devoted to proving Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notation.** We denote by $A \lesssim B$ an estimate of the form $A \le CB$ with some absolute constant $C$, and by $A \sim B$ an estimate of the form $C_1 B \le A \le C_2 B$ with

some absolute constants $C_1$, $C_2$. We write $\|\cdot\|_p = \|\cdot\|_{L^p}$. The symbol $(\cdot,\cdot)$ stands for the $L^2$-inner product.

2.2. **Littlewood-Paley decomposition.** Our method relies on the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, which we briefly recall here. We refer the readers to the books by Bahouri, Chemin and Danchin [1] and Grafakos [20] for a more detailed description on this theory.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ denote the Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform, respectively. A nonnegative radial function $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is chosen such that

$$\chi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |\xi| \le \frac{3}{4} \\ 0, & \text{for } |\xi| \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\varphi(\xi) = \chi(\xi/2) - \chi(\xi)$$

and

$$\varphi_q(\xi) = \begin{cases} \varphi(\lambda_q^{-1}\xi) & \text{for } q \ge 0, \\ \chi(\xi) & \text{for } q = -1. \end{cases}$$

Note that the sequence of the smooth functions $\varphi_q$ forms a dyadic partition of unity. For a tempered distribution vector field $u$ we define the Littlewood-Paley decomposition

$$\begin{cases} h = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi, \qquad \tilde{h} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi, \\ u_q := \Delta_q u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(\lambda_q^{-1}\xi)\mathcal{F}u) = \lambda_q^n \int h(\lambda_q y)u(x-y)dy, \qquad \text{for } q \ge 0, \\ u_{-1} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(\xi)\mathcal{F}u) = \int \tilde{h}(y)u(x-y)dy. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$u = \sum_{q=-1}^{\infty} u_q$$

holds in the distributional sense. To simplify the notation, we denote

$$\tilde{u}_q = u_{q-1} + u_q + u_{q+1}, \qquad u_{\le Q} = \sum_{q=-1}^{Q} u_q, \qquad u_{(P,Q]} = \sum_{q=P+1}^{Q} u_q.$$

Let $\lambda_q = 2^q$ for $q \in \mathbb{N}$. The Besov space $B^s_{p,\infty}$ is defined as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $1 \le p \le \infty$. Let

$$\|u\|_{B^s_{p,\infty}} = \sup_{q \ge -1} \lambda_q^s \|u_q\|_p.$$

The Besov space $B^s_{p,\infty}$ is the space of tempered distributions $u$ such that $\|u\|_{B^s_{p,\infty}}$ is finite.

Note that

$$\|u\|_{H^s} \sim \left( \sum_{q=-1}^{\infty} \lambda_q^{2s} \|u_q\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

for each $u \in H^s$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

We recall Bernstein's inequality (c.f. [27]).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let $n$ be the space dimension and $r \geq s \geq 1$. Then for all tempered distributions $u$,*

$$\|u_q\|_r \lesssim \lambda_q^{n(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})}\|u_q\|_s.$$

We will also utilize Bony's paraproduct decomposition throughout the paper. This particular version of the decomposition is discussed in [10, 11].

2.3. **Definition of solutions.** We recall some classical definitions of weak and regular solutions to the MHD system (see, e.g., [17, 30]).

**Definition 2.3.** A weak solution of (1.1) on $[0,T]$ is a pair of divergence free functions $(u,b)$ in the class

$$u, b \in C_w([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0,T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
&(u(t),\phi(t)) - (u_0,\phi(0)) \\
&= \int_0^t (u(s), \partial_s\phi(s)) + \nu(u(s), \Delta\phi(s)) + (u(s)\cdot\nabla\phi(s), u(s)) - (b(s)\cdot\nabla\phi(s), b(s))\, ds, \\
&(b(t),\phi(t)) - (b_0,\phi(0)) \\
&= \int_0^t (b(s), \partial_s\phi(s)) + \mu(b(s), \Delta\phi(s)) + (u(s)\cdot\nabla\phi(s), b(s)) - (b(s)\cdot\nabla\phi(s), u(s))\, ds,
\end{aligned}$$

for all test functions $\phi \in C_0^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla_x \cdot \phi = 0$.

**Definition 2.4.** A weak solution $(u,b)$ of (1.1) is regular on a time interval $\mathcal{I}$ if $\|u(t)\|_{H^s}$ and $\|b(t)\|_{H^s}$ are continuous on $\mathcal{I}$ for some $s > \frac{1}{2}$.

## 3. Regularity Criterion

In this section we will establish the regularity criterion in Theorem 1.1. Let $(u(t), b(t))$ be a weak solution of (1.1) on $[0,T]$. Similarly to [13] we define a dissipation wavenumber as

$$\Lambda_r(t) = \min\left\{\lambda_q : \lambda_p^{-1+\frac{3}{r}}\|u_p(t)\|_r < c_r \min\{\nu,\mu\}, \forall p > q, q \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $c_r$ is an adimensional constant that depends only on $r$, and $r \in [2,6)$ ($r \in [2,\infty]$ when $b \equiv 0$). Let $Q_r(t) \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\lambda_{Q_r(t)} = \Lambda_r(t)$. It follows immediately that

$$\|u_p(t)\| r < \lambda_p^{1-\frac{3}{r}} c_r \min\{\nu,\mu\}, \qquad \forall p > Q_r(t),$$

and

$$\|u_{Q_r(t)}(t)\|_r \geq c_r \min\{\nu,\mu\}\Lambda_r^{1-\frac{3}{r}}(t), \tag{3.12}$$

provided $1 < \Lambda_r(t) < \infty$. For simplicity, from now on we drop the subscript $r$ in $Q_r$ unless specified otherwise. We also denote

$$f(t) = \sum_{q \leq Q(t)} \lambda_q \|u_q\|_\infty.$$

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** In order to prove that $(u,b)$ does not blow up at $T$, it is sufficient to show that $\|u(t)\|_{H^s}+\|b(t)\|_{H^s}$ is bounded on $[T/2,T)$ for some $s>\frac{1}{2}$. Since $(u(t),b(t))$ is regular on $(0,T)$, multiplying equations (1.1) with $\Delta_q^2 u$ and $\Delta_q^2 b$ respectively yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u_q\|_2^2 \leq &-\nu\|\nabla u_q\|_2^2-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(u\cdot\nabla u)\cdot u_q\,dx\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(b\cdot\nabla b)\cdot u_q\,dx,\\ \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|b_q\|_2^2 \leq &-\mu\|\nabla b_q\|_2^2-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(u\cdot\nabla b)\cdot b_q dx\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(b\cdot\nabla u)\cdot b_q dx.\end{aligned}$$

Adding the above two inequalities, multiplying by $\lambda_q^{2s}$, and adding them for all $q\geq -1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\left(\|u_q\|_2^2+\|b_q\|_2^2\right)\leq &-\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\left(\nu\|\nabla u_q\|_2^2+\mu\|\nabla b_q\|_2^2\right)\\ &-(I+J+K+L),\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}I&=\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(u\cdot\nabla u)\cdot u_q\,dx, &J&=-\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(b\cdot\nabla b)\cdot u_q\,dx,\\ K&=\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(u\cdot\nabla b)\cdot b_q\,dx, &L&=-\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(b\cdot\nabla u)\cdot b_q\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

We start with estimating $I$, where we will use Bony's paraproduct decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_q(u\cdot\nabla u)=&\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\Delta_q(u_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla u_p)+\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\Delta_q(u_p\cdot\nabla u_{\leq p-2})\\ &+\sum_{p\geq q-2}\Delta_q(u_p\cdot\nabla\tilde{u}_p).\end{aligned}$$

First, $I$ is decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned}I=&\sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(u_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla u_p)u_q\,dx\\ &\sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(u_p\cdot\nabla u_{\leq p-2})u_q\,dx\\ &\sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{p\geq q-2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(u_p\cdot\nabla\tilde{u}_p)u_q\,dx\\ =&I_1+I_2+I_3,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 =& \sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[\Delta_q, u_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla]u_p u_q\,dx \\ &\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_{\leq q-2}\cdot\nabla u_q u_q\,dx \\ &\sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(u_{\leq p-2}-u_{\leq q-2})\cdot\nabla\Delta_q u_p u_q\,dx \\ =& I_{11}+I_{12}+I_{13}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\Delta_q u_p = u_q$. One can see that $I_{12}$ vanishes since $\operatorname{div} u_{\leq q-2} = 0$. We now estimate $I_{11}$, where we used the commutator notation

$$[\Delta_q, u_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla]u_p = \Delta_q(u_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla u_p) - u_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla\Delta_q u_p.$$

By definition of $\Delta_q$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta_q, u_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla]u_q =& \lambda_q^3\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(\lambda_q(x-y))\,(u_{\leq p-2}(y)-u_{\leq p-2}(x))\,\nabla u_q(y)\,dy \\ =& -\lambda_q^3\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla h(\lambda_q(x-y))\,(u_{\leq p-2}(y)-u_{\leq p-2}(x))\,u_q(y)\,dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|[\Delta_q, u_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla]u_q\|_{r_1} \\ &\qquad\lesssim \|u_q\|_{r_3}\sum_{p'\leq p-2}\lambda_{p'}\|u_{p'}\|_{r_2}\left|\lambda_q^3\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|x-y|\nabla h(\lambda_q(x-y))\,dy\right| \\ &\qquad\lesssim \|u_q\|_{r_3}\sum_{p'\leq p-2}\lambda_{p'}\|u_{p'}\|_{r_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

for $\frac{1}{r_2}+\frac{1}{r_3}=\frac{1}{r_1}$. So splitting $I_{11}$ based on definition of $\Lambda_r$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{11}| \leq& \sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|[\Delta_q, u_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla]u_p u_q|\,dx \\ \leq& \sum_{p\leq Q+2}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|[\Delta_q, u_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla]u_p u_q|\,dx \\ &+\sum_{p>Q+2}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|[\Delta_q, u_{\leq Q}\cdot\nabla]u_p u_q|\,dx \\ &+\sum_{p>Q+2}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|[\Delta_q, u_{(Q,p-2]}\cdot\nabla]u_p u_q\right|\,dx \\ \equiv& I_{111}+I_{112}+I_{113}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.14), Hölder's inequality, and definition of $f(t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{111} \lesssim & \sum_{1\le p\le Q+2}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|\nabla u_{\le p-2}\|_\infty\|u_p\|_2\|u_q\|_2 \\ \lesssim & f(t)\sum_{1\le p\le Q+2}\|u_p\|_2\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|u_q\|_2 \\ \lesssim & f(t)\sum_{q\ge -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\|u_q\|_2^2; \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} I_{112} \lesssim & \sum_{p>Q+2}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|\nabla u_{\le Q}\|_\infty\|u_p\|_2\|u_q\|_2 \\ \lesssim & f(t)\sum_{p>Q+2}\|u_p\|_2\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|u_q\|_2 \\ \lesssim & f(t)\sum_{q>Q}\lambda_q^{2s}\|u_q\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now using Hölder's inequality, (3.14), definition of $\Lambda_r$, and Jensen's inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} I_{113} \lesssim & \sum_{p>Q+2}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|[\Delta_q, u_{(Q,p-2]}\cdot\nabla]u_p\|_2\|u_q\|_2 \\ \lesssim & \sum_{p>Q+2}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|u_q\|_2\sum_{Q<p'\le p-2}\lambda_{p'}\|u_{p'}\|_r\|u_p\|_{\frac{2r}{r-2}} \\ \lesssim & \sum_{p>Q+2}\lambda_p^{\frac{3}{r}}\|u_p\|_2\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|u_q\|_2\sum_{Q<p'\le p-2}\lambda_{p'}\|u_{p'}\|_r \\ \lesssim & c_r\nu\sum_{p>Q+2}\lambda_p^{\frac{3}{r}}\|u_p\|_2\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|u_q\|_2\sum_{Q<p'\le p-2}\lambda_{p'}^{2-\frac{3}{r}} \\ \lesssim & c_r\nu\sum_{p>Q+2}\lambda_p^{2s+\frac{3}{r}}\|u_p\|_2^2\sum_{Q<p'\le p-2}\lambda_{p'}^{2-\frac{3}{r}} \\ \lesssim & c_r\nu\sum_{p>Q+2}\lambda_p^{2s+2}\|u_p\|_2^2\sum_{Q<p'\le p-2}\lambda_{p'-p}^{2-\frac{3}{r}} \\ \lesssim & c_r\nu\sum_{p>Q+2}\lambda_p^{2s+2}\|u_p\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

since $r\ge 2$. To estimate $I_{13}$, we first split it as

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{13}| \le & \sum_{q\ge -1}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|(u_{\le p-2}-u_{\le q-2})\cdot\nabla\Delta_q u_p u_q|\,dx \\ \le & \sum_{-1\le q\le Q}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|(u_{\le p-2}-u_{\le q-2})\cdot\nabla\Delta_q u_p u_q|\,dx \\ & +\sum_{q>Q}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|(u_{\le p-2}-u_{\le q-2})\cdot\nabla\Delta_q u_p u_q|\,dx \\ \equiv & I_{131}+I_{132}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality and definition of $f(t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|I_{131}| \lesssim & \sum_{-1\le q\le Q} \lambda_q^{2s+1}\|u_q\|_\infty \sum_{|q-p|\le 2} \|u_{\le p-2}-u_{\le q-2}\|_2\|u_p\|_2\\ \lesssim & f(t)\sum_{-1\le q\le Q} \lambda_q^{2s} \sum_{|q-p|\le 2} \|u_{\le p-2}-u_{\le q-2}\|_2\|u_p\|_2\\ \lesssim & f(t)\sum_{-1\le q\le Q} \lambda_q^{2s}\|u_q\|_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

Also, Hölder's inequality and definition of $\Lambda_r$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}|I_{132}| \lesssim & \sum_{q>Q} \lambda_q^{2s+1}\|u_q\|_r \sum_{|q-p|\le 2} \|u_{\le p-2}-u_{\le q-2}\|_2\|u_p\|_{\frac{2r}{r-2}}\\ \lesssim & c_r\nu\sum_{q>Q} \lambda_q^{2s+2-\frac{3}{r}} \sum_{|q-p|\le 2} \lambda_p^{\frac{3}{r}}\|u_{\le p-2}-u_{\le q-2}\|_2\|u_p\|_2\\ \lesssim & c_r\nu\sum_{q>Q} \lambda_q^{2s+2-\frac{3}{r}} \sum_{q-3\le p\le q+2} \lambda_p^{\frac{3}{r}}\|u_p\|_2^2\\ \lesssim & c_r\nu\sum_{q>Q-3} \lambda_q^{2s+2}\|u_q\|_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

One can see that $I_2$ enjoys the same estimate as $I_{11}$. On the other hand, for $I_3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|I_3| \lesssim & \sum_{q\ge -1}\sum_{p\ge q-2} \lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_q(u_p\otimes\tilde{u}_p)\nabla u_q|\,dx\\ \lesssim & \sum_{q>Q} \lambda_q^{2s+1}\|u_q\|_\infty \sum_{p\ge q-2}\|u_p\|_2^2 + \sum_{-1\le q\le Q} \lambda_q^{2s+1}\|u_q\|_\infty \sum_{p\ge q-2}\|u_p\|_2^2\\ \lesssim & \sum_{q>Q} \lambda_q^{2s+1+\frac{3}{r}}\|u_q\|_r \sum_{p\ge q-2}\|u_p\|_2^2 + f(t)\sum_{-1\le q\le Q} \lambda_q^{2s} \sum_{p\ge q-2}\|u_p\|_2^2\\ \lesssim & c_r\nu\sum_{p>Q} \lambda_p^{2s+2}\|u_p\|_2^2 \sum_{Q<q\le p+2} \lambda_{q-p}^{2s+2} + f(t)\sum_{p\ge -1} \lambda_p^{2s}\|u_p\|_2^2 \sum_{q\le p+2} \lambda_{q-p}^{2s}\\ \lesssim & c_r\nu\sum_{q>Q} \lambda_q^{2s+2}\|u_q\|_2^2 + f(t)\sum_{q\ge -1} \lambda_q^{2s}\|u_q\|_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$|I| \lesssim c_r\nu\sum_{q>Q-3} \lambda_q^{2s+2}\|u_q\|_2^2 + f(t)\sum_{q\ge -1} \lambda_q^{2s}\|u_q\|_2^2. \tag{3.15}$$

Similarly, using Bony's paraproduct decomposition and the commutator notation, $J$ is decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned}J=&-\sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(b_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla b_p)u_q\,dx\\&-\sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(b_p\cdot\nabla b_{\leq p-2})u_q\,dx\\&-\sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{p\geq q-2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(b_p\cdot\nabla \tilde{b}_p)u_q\,dx\\=&J_1+J_2+J_3,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}J_1=&-\sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[\Delta_q,b_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla]b_p u_q\,dx\\&-\sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}b_{\leq q-2}\cdot\nabla\Delta_q b_p u_q\,dx\\&-\sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(b_{\leq p-2}-b_{\leq q-2})\cdot\nabla\Delta_q b_p u_q\,dx\\=&J_{11}+J_{12}+J_{13}.\end{aligned}$$

We will see that the term $J_{12}$ cancels a part of $L$. The other terms are estimated as follows. First, we further split $J_{11}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}|J_{11}|\leq&\sum_{q>Q}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|[\Delta_q,b_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla]b_p u_q|\,dx\\&+\sum_{-1\leq q\leq Q}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|[\Delta_q,b_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla]b_p u_q|\,dx\\\equiv&J_{111}+J_{112}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, by Hölder's inequality, (3.14), definition of $\Lambda_r$, and Jensen's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|J_{111}|\lesssim&\sum_{q>Q}\lambda_q^{2s}\|u_q\|_r\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\|b_p\|_2\sum_{p'\leq p-2}\lambda_{p'}\|b_{p'}\|_{\frac{2r}{r-2}}\\\lesssim&c_r\mu\sum_{q>Q}\lambda_q^{2s+1-\frac{3}{r}}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\|b_p\|_2\sum_{p'\leq q}\lambda_{p'}^{1+\frac{3}{r}}\|b_{p'}\|_2\\\lesssim&c_r\mu\sum_{q>Q-2}\lambda_q^{2s+1-\frac{3}{r}}\|b_q\|_2\sum_{p'\leq q}\lambda_{p'}^{1+\frac{3}{r}}\|b_{p'}\|_2\\\lesssim&c_r\mu\sum_{q>Q-2}\lambda_q^{s+1}\|b_q\|_2\sum_{p'\leq q}\lambda_{p'}^{s+1}\|b_{p'}\|_2\lambda_{q-p'}^{s-\frac{3}{r}}\\\lesssim&c_r\mu\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s+2}\|b_q\|_2^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we needed $2 \le r < \frac{3}{s}$. Also, by Hölder's inequality, definition of $f(t)$ and Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{112}| \lesssim & \sum_{-1\le q\le Q} \lambda_q^{2s}\|u_q\|_\infty \sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\|b_p\|_2 \sum_{p'\le p-2}\lambda_{p'}\|b_{p'}\|_2\\
\lesssim & f(t)\sum_{-1\le q\le Q} \lambda_q^{2s-1} \sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\|b_p\|_2 \sum_{p'\le q}\lambda_{p'}\|b_{p'}\|_2\\
\lesssim & f(t)\sum_{-1\le q\le Q+2} \lambda_q^{2s-1}\|b_q\|_2 \sum_{p'\le q}\lambda_{p'}\|b_{p'}\|_2\\
\lesssim & f(t)\sum_{-1\le q\le Q+2} \lambda_q^{s}\|b_q\|_2 \sum_{p'\le q}\lambda_{p'}^{s}\|b_{p'}\|_2\lambda_{p'-q}^{1-s}\\
\lesssim & f(t)\sum_{-1\le q\le Q+2} \lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$. Similar analysis yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{13}| \le & \sum_{q\ge -1}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|(b_{\le p-2}-b_{\le q-2})\cdot\nabla\Delta_q b_p u_q|\,dx\\
\le & \sum_{q>Q}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s+1}\|u_q\|_r\|b_{\le p-2}-b_{\le q-2}\|_2\|b_p\|_{\frac{2r}{r-2}}\\
& + \sum_{-1\le q\le Q}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_q^{2s+1}\|u_q\|_\infty\|b_{\le p-2}-b_{\le q-2}\|_2\|b_p\|_2\\
\lesssim & c_r\mu\sum_{q>Q}\lambda_q^{2s+2-\frac{3}{r}}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\lambda_p^{\frac{3}{r}}\|b_{\le p-2}-b_{\le q-2}\|_2\|b_p\|_2\\
& + f(t)\sum_{-1\le q\le Q}\lambda_q^{2s}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2}\|b_{\le p-2}-b_{\le q-2}\|_2\|b_p\|_2\\
\lesssim & c_r\mu\sum_{q>Q}\lambda_q^{2s+2-\frac{3}{r}}\sum_{q-3\le p\le q+2}\lambda_p^{\frac{3}{r}}\|b_p\|_2^2 + f(t)\sum_{-1\le q\le Q}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2\\
\lesssim & c_r\mu\sum_{q>Q-3}\lambda_q^{2s+2}\|b_p\|_2^2 + f(t)\sum_{-1\le q\le Q}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $J_2$ enjoys the same estimate as $J_{11}$, while for $J_3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_3| \lesssim & \sum_{q\ge -1}\sum_{p\ge q-2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\Delta_q(b_p\otimes\tilde{b}_p)\nabla u_q|\,dx\\
\lesssim & \sum_{q>Q}\lambda_q^{2s+1}\|u_q\|_\infty\sum_{p\ge q-2}\|b_p\|_2^2 + \sum_{-1\le q\le Q}\lambda_q^{2s+1}\|u_q\|_\infty\sum_{p\ge q-2}\|b_p\|_2^2\\
\lesssim & \sum_{q>Q}\lambda_q^{2s+1+\frac{3}{r}}\|u_q\|_r\sum_{p\ge q-2}\|b_p\|_2^2 + f(t)\sum_{-1\le q\le Q}\lambda_q^{2s}\sum_{p\ge q-2}\|b_p\|_2^2\\
\lesssim & c_r\mu\sum_{p>Q}\lambda_p^{2s+2}\|b_p\|_2^2\sum_{Q<q\le p+2}\lambda_{q-p}^{2s+2} + f(t)\sum_{p\ge -1}\lambda_p^{2s}\|b_p\|_2^2\sum_{-1\le q\le p}\lambda_{q-p}^{2s}\\
\lesssim & c_r\mu\sum_{q>Q}\lambda_q^{2s+2}\|b_q\|_2^2 + f(t)\sum_{q\ge -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|J| \lesssim c_r\mu \sum_{q>Q-3} \lambda_q^{2s+2}\|b_q\|_2^2 + f(t)\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2. \tag{3.16}$$

Turning to the last term $K$, we start with Bony's decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned} K =& \sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(u_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla b_p)b_q\,dx \\ &+ \sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(u_p\cdot\nabla b_{\leq p-2})b_q\,dx \\ &+ \sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{p\geq q-2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(u_p\cdot\nabla \tilde{b}_p)b_q\,dx \\ =& K_1+K_2+K_3, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 =& \sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[\Delta_q, u_{\leq p-2}\cdot\nabla]b_p b_q\,dx \\ &+ \sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_{\leq q-2}\cdot\nabla b_q b_q\,dx \\ &+ \sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(u_{\leq p-2}-u_{\leq q-2})\cdot\nabla\Delta_q b_p b_q\,dx \\ =& K_{11}+K_{12}+K_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used $\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\Delta_p b_q = b_q$ to obtain $K_{12}$. Note that $K_{12}=0$ as before. Also, $K_{11}$ can be estimated similarly to $I_{11}$ as

$$|K_{11}| \lesssim f(t)\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2 + c_r\mu\sum_{q>Q+2}\lambda_q^{2s+2}\|b_q\|_2^2.$$

The term $K_{13}$ can be estimated as $J_{13}$, while $K_2$ enjoys an estimate similar to the one for $J_2$. More presicely,

$$|K_{13}|+|K_2| \lesssim c_r\mu\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s+2}\|b_q\|_2^2 + f(t)\sum_{-1\leq q\leq Q+2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2.$$

To estimate $K_3$, we use integration by parts first, and then split it as

$$\begin{aligned} |K_3| \leq& \left|\sum_{p\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\sum_{-1\leq q\leq p+2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q(u_p\otimes\tilde{b}_p)\nabla b_q\,dx\right| \\ \leq& \sum_{p>Q}\lambda_q^{2s}\sum_{-1\leq q\leq p+2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\Delta_q(u_p\otimes\tilde{b}_p)\nabla b_q\right|\,dx \\ &+ \sum_{-1\leq p\leq Q}\lambda_q^{2s}\sum_{-1\leq q\leq p+2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\Delta_q(u_p\otimes\tilde{b}_p)\nabla b_q\right|\,dx \\ \equiv& K_{31}+K_{32}. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as in the case of $I_3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|K_{31}| \lesssim & \sum_{p>Q} \|u_p\|_\infty \|b_p\|_2 \sum_{-1\le q\le p+2} \lambda_q^{2s+1}\|b_q\|_2\\ \lesssim & c_r\mu \sum_{p>Q} \lambda_p \|b_p\|_2 \sum_{-1\le q\le p+2} \lambda_q^{2s+1}\|b_q\|_2\\ \lesssim & c_r\mu \sum_{p>Q} \lambda_p^{s+1} \|b_p\|_2 \sum_{-1\le q\le p+2} \lambda_q^{s+1}\|b_q\|_2 \lambda_{q-p}^{s}\\ \lesssim & c_r\mu \sum_{q\ge -1} \lambda_q^{2s+2}\|b_q\|_2^2;\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|K_{32}| \lesssim & \sum_{-1\le p\le Q} \|u_p\|_\infty \|b_p\|_2 \sum_{-1\le q\le p+2} \lambda_q^{2s+1}\|b_q\|_2\\ \lesssim & f(t) \sum_{-1\le p\le Q} \lambda_p^{-1} \|b_p\|_2 \sum_{-1\le q\le p+2} \lambda_q^{2s+1}\|b_q\|_2\\ \lesssim & f(t) \sum_{-1\le p\le Q} \lambda_p^{s} \|b_p\|_2 \sum_{-1\le q\le p+2} \lambda_q^{s}\|b_q\|_2 \lambda_{q-p}^{s+1}\\ \lesssim & f(t) \sum_{-1\le q\le Q+2} \lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we get

$$|K| \lesssim c_r\mu \sum_{q\ge -1} \lambda_q^{2s+2}\|b_q\|_2^2 + f(t) \sum_{q\ge -1} \lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2. \tag{3.17}$$

Now we estimate $L$ in a similar way. Utilizing Bony's paraproduct decomposition and the commutator notation, $L$ can be decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned}L = & -\sum_{q\ge -1}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2} \lambda_q^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta_q(b_{\le p-2}\cdot\nabla u_p) b_q\,dx\\ & -\sum_{q\ge -1}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2} \lambda_q^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta_q(b_p\cdot\nabla u_{\le p-2}) b_q\,dx\\ & -\sum_{q\ge -1}\sum_{p\ge q-2} \lambda_q^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta_q(\tilde{b}_p\cdot\nabla u_p) b_q\,dx\\ = & L_1+L_2+L_3,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}L_1 = & -\sum_{q\ge -1}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2} \lambda_q^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\Delta_q, b_{\le p-2}\cdot\nabla] u_p b_q\,dx\\ & -\sum_{q\ge -1}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2} \lambda_q^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_{\le q-2}\cdot\nabla\Delta_q u_p b_q\,dx\\ & -\sum_{q\ge -1}\sum_{|q-p|\le 2} \lambda_q^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (b_{\le p-2}-b_{\le q-2})\cdot\nabla\Delta_q u_p b_q\,dx\\ = & L_{11}+L_{12}+L_{13}.\end{aligned}$$

As mentioned above, the term $L_{12}$ cancels $J_{12}$. Indeed, using integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{12}+L_{12}=&-\sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_{\leq q-2}\cdot\nabla\Delta_q b_p(u_q+b_q)\,dx\\
&-\sum_{q\geq -1}\sum_{|q-p|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_{\leq q-2}\cdot\nabla\Delta_q u_p(b_q+u_q)\,dx\\
=&-\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_{\leq q-2}\cdot\nabla(u_p+b_p)(u_q+b_q)\,dx\\
=&0.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $L_{11}$ can be estimated as $J_{11}$, and $L_3$ can be estimated as $K_3$. Thus

$$|L_{11}|+|L_3|\lesssim c_r\mu\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s+2}\|b_q\|_2^2+f(t)\sum_{-1\leq q\leq Q}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2.$$

After using integration by parts, the term $L_{13}$ can be estimated similarly to $J_{13}$. Hence

$$|L_{13}|\lesssim c_r\mu\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s+2}\|b_q\|_2^2+f(t)\sum_{-1\leq q\leq Q}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2.$$

To estimate $L_2$, we split the summation first as

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_2|\leq&\sum_{-1\leq p\leq Q+2}\sum_{|p-q|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\Delta_q(b_p\cdot\nabla u_{\leq p-2})b_q|\,dx\\
&+\sum_{p>Q+2}\sum_{|p-q|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\Delta_q(b_p\cdot\nabla u_{\leq Q})b_q|\,dx\\
&+\sum_{p>Q+2}\sum_{|p-q|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\Delta_q(b_p\cdot\nabla u_{(Q,p-2]})b_q\right|\,dx\\
\equiv&L_{21}+L_{22}+L_{23}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then using Hölder's inequality and definition of $f(t)$ we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{21}\lesssim&\sum_{-1\leq p\leq Q+2}\|\nabla u_{\leq p-2}\|_\infty\|b_p\|_2\sum_{|p-q|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2\\
\lesssim&f(t)\sum_{-1\leq p\leq Q+2}\|b_p\|_2\sum_{|p-q|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2\\
\lesssim&f(t)\sum_{q\geq -1}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{22}\lesssim&\sum_{p>Q+2}\|\nabla u_{\leq Q}\|_\infty\|b_p\|_2\sum_{|p-q|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2\\
\lesssim&f(t)\sum_{p>Q+2}\|b_p\|_2\sum_{|p-q|\leq 2}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2\\
\lesssim&f(t)\sum_{q>Q}\lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, definition of $\Lambda_r$ and Jensen's inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{23} &\lesssim \sum_{p>Q+2} \|\nabla u_{(Q,p-2]}\|_r \|b_p\|_{\frac{2r}{r-2}} \sum_{|p-q|\le 2} \lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2 \\
&\lesssim \sum_{p>Q+2} \lambda_p^{\frac{3}{r}}\|b_p\|_2 \sum_{|p-q|\le 2} \lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2 \sum_{Q<p'\le p-2} \lambda_{p'}\|u_{p'}\|_r \\
&\lesssim c_r\mu \sum_{p>Q} \lambda_p^{2s+\frac{3}{r}}\|b_p\|_2^2 \sum_{Q<p'\le p-2} \lambda_{p'}^{2-\frac{3}{r}} \\
&\lesssim c_r\mu \sum_{p>Q} \lambda_p^{2s+2}\|b_p\|_2^2 \sum_{Q<p'\le p-2} \lambda_{p'-p}^{2-\frac{3}{r}} \\
&\lesssim c_r\mu \sum_{p>Q} \lambda_p^{2s+2}\|b_p\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

since $r \ge 2$. Thus we have established that

$$|L| \lesssim c_r\mu \sum_{q\ge -1} \lambda_q^{2s+2}\|b_q\|_2^2 + f(t) \sum_{q\ge -1} \lambda_q^{2s}\|b_q\|_2^2. \tag{3.18}$$

Combining (3.13), and (3.15)-(3.18) yields that for some small enough $c_r$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{q\ge -1} \lambda_q^{2s} \left(\|u_q\|_2^2 + \|b_q\|_2^2\right) \lesssim f(t) \sum_{q\ge -1} \lambda_q^{2s} \left(\|u_q\|_2^2 + \|b_q\|_2^2\right), \tag{3.19}$$

i.e., there exists an adimensional constant $C = C(r,\nu,\mu,s)$, such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \|b\|_{H^s}^2\right) \le Cf(t)\left(\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \|b\|_{H^s}^2\right).$$

Notice that this holds as long as $r, s$ satisfy $1/2 < s < 1$ and $2 \le r < 3/s$, and hence for any $r$ belonging to $[2, 6)$. However, when $b \equiv 0$, we have $J \equiv K \equiv L = 0$, and the range for $r$ extends to $[2, \infty]$.

First note that by Grönwall's inequality $\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \|b\|_{H^s}^2$ is bounded on $[T/2, T)$ provided $f \in L^1(0,T)$. Our next goal is to weaken the condition $f \in L^1$. For this, we estimate $f$ as

$$f(t) \le f_{\le q^*} + f_{>q^*}(t),$$

where

$$f_{\le q^*} = \sum_{q\le q^*} \lambda_q\|u_q\|_\infty, \qquad f_{>q^*}(t) = 1_{q*<Q(t)} \sum_{q^*<q\le Q(t)} \lambda_q\|u_q\|_\infty.$$

Thanks to Bernstein's and energy inequalities,

$$f_{\le q^*}(t) \le M_{q^*}, \qquad t \in (0,T),$$

where $M_{q^*} \sim q^*\lambda_{q^*}^{\frac{5}{2}}(\|u(0)\|_2 + \|b(0)\|_2)$. By definition of $\Lambda_r(t)$ we have (see 3.12)

$$c_r \min\{\nu,\mu\}\nu\Lambda_r^{1-\frac{3}{r}} \le \|u_Q\|_r \lesssim \Lambda_r^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{r}}\|u_Q\|_2,$$

provided $\Lambda_r > 1$. Therefore

$$c_r \min\{\nu,\mu\}\Lambda_r^\epsilon(t) \lesssim \Lambda_r^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\|u_Q\|_2 \lesssim \|u\|_{H^s},$$

where $\epsilon = s - \frac{1}{2}$. Let

$$\bar{Q}(t) = \sup_{\tau\in[T/2,t]} Q(\tau).$$

Then

$$2^{\epsilon\bar{Q}(t)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\min\{\nu,\mu\}} \sup_{\tau\in[T/2,t]} \|u(\tau)\|_{H^s}. \tag{3.20}$$

Now assume that

$$\limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(\tau)}\lambda_q \|u_q\|_\infty \, d\tau \le \tilde{c}_r := \frac{\epsilon \ln 2}{2C}.$$

We first fix $q^* \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(\tau)}\lambda_q \|u_q\|_\infty \, d\tau \le 2\tilde{c}_r, \qquad \forall q > q^*.$$

Then for $t \in (T/2, T)$ we can estimate the integral of $f_{>q*}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{T/2}^{t} f_{>q^*}(\tau)\, d\tau &= \int_{T/2}^{t} 1_{q*<Q(\tau)} \sum_{q^*<q\le Q(\tau)} \lambda_q \|u_q\|_\infty \, d\tau \\
&= \sum_{q^*<q\le \bar{Q}(t)} \int_{T/2}^{t} 1_{q\le Q(\tau)}\lambda_q \|u_q\|_\infty \, d\tau \\
&\le \bar{Q}(t) \sup_{q>q^*} \int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(\tau)}\lambda_q \|u_q\|_\infty \, d\tau \\
&\le \frac{\bar{Q}(t)\epsilon \ln 2}{C},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\exp\left(\int_{T/2}^{t} C f_{>q^*}(\tau)\, d\tau\right) \le 2^{\epsilon\bar{Q}(t)} \lesssim \min\{\nu,\mu\}^{-1} \sup_{\tau\in[T/2,t]} \|u(\tau)\|_{H^s}.$$

We also have

$$\exp\left(\int_{T/2}^{t} C f_{\le q^*}(\tau)\, d\tau\right) \le e^{TCM_{q^*}}.$$

Hence, by Grönwall's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{H^s}^2 &\le \exp\left(\int_{T/2}^{t} C f(\tau)\, d\tau\right) \left(\|u(T/2)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|b(T/2)\|_{H^s}^2\right) \\
&\le 2^{\epsilon\bar{Q}/2} e^{TCM_{q^*}} \left(\|u(T/2)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|b(T/2)\|_{H^s}^2\right) \\
&\lesssim e^{TCM_{q^*}} \min\{\nu,\mu\}^{-1} \sup_{\tau\in[T/2,t]} \|u(\tau)\|_{H^s} \left(\|u(T/2)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|b(T/2)\|_{H^s}^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \lesssim e^{2TCM_{q^*}} \min\{\nu,\mu\}^{-1} \left(\|u(T/2)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|b(T/2)\|_{H^s}^2\right)^2,$$

for all $t \in (T/2, T)$, which implies that $(u, b)$ is regular on $(0, T]$. Finally, we would like to point out that the result still holds if we decrease either $c_r$ or $\tilde{c}_r$. Hence, they can be chosen equal.

3.2. **Alternative versions of the criterion.** In this subsection we show that regularity conditions stated in Theorem 1.2 follow from (1.10).

Recall

$$\mathcal{T}_q = \sup\{t \in (T/2, T) : Q(\tau) < q \ \forall \tau \in (T/2, t)\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{T}_q$ is increasing. In addition, (3.20) and the fact that $(u, b)$ is regular on $(0, T)$ imply that

$$\lim_{q\to\infty} \mathcal{T}_q = T.$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(\tau)} \lambda_q \|u_q\|_\infty \, dt &\le \limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{T}_q}^{T} \lambda_q \|u_q\|_\infty \, dt \\ &\le \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} \lambda_q \|u_q\|_\infty \, dt.\end{aligned}$$

Also, $\sup_{q\le Q(t)} \lambda_q \|u_q\|_\infty \in L^1(0, T)$ implies that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{T-\epsilon}^{T} \lambda_q \|u_q\|_\infty \, dt = 0.$$

It is easy to see that (8) in Theorem 1.2 implies $\Lambda \in L^\infty(0, T)$ and hence $\sup_{q\le Q(t)} \lambda_q \|u_q\|_\infty \in L^\infty(0, T)$ (see Theorem 3.1 in [12]). To establish (4)–(7) we need the following.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For all $1 \le l < \infty$ and $1 \le r \le \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(t)} \lambda_q \|u_q(t)\|_\infty \, dt \\ &\qquad \le (c_r \min\{\nu, \mu\})^{1-l} \limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(t)} \left(\lambda_q^{-1+\frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}} \|u_q(t)\|_r\right)^l \, dt \\ &\qquad \le (c_r \min\{\nu, \mu\})^{1-l} \limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{T}_q}^{T} \left(\lambda_q^{-1+\frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}} \|u_q(t)\|_r\right)^l \, dt.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using Bernstein's and Hölder's inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(t)} \lambda_q \|u_q(t)\|_\infty \, dt \\ &\qquad \le \limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(t)} \lambda_q^{1+\frac{3}{r}} \|u_q(t)\|_r \, dt \\ &\qquad \le \limsup_{q\to\infty} \int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(t)} \Lambda_r^{2-\frac{2}{l}}(t) \lambda_q^{-1+\frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}} \|u_q(t)\|_r \, dt \\ &\qquad \le \limsup_{q\to\infty} \left(\int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(t)} \Lambda_r^2(t) \, dt\right)^{\frac{l-1}{l}} \left(\int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(t)} \left(\lambda_q^{-1+\frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}} \|u_q(t)\|_r\right)^l \, dt\right)^{\frac{1}{l}}.\end{aligned}$$

We now use (3.11) to conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{q\to\infty}\int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(t)}\lambda_q\|u_q(t)\|_\infty\,dt\\
&\qquad\le (c_r\min\{\nu,\mu\})^{1-l}\limsup_{q\to\infty}\left(\int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(t)}\Lambda_r^{2+(\frac{3}{r}-1)l}(t)\|u_Q(t)\|_r^l\,dt\right)^{\frac{l-1}{l}}\times\\
&\qquad\qquad\left(\int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(t)}\left(\lambda_q^{-1+\frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}}\|u_q(t)\|_r\right)^l\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{l}}\\
&\qquad\le (c_r\min\{\nu,\mu\})^{1-l}\limsup_{q\to\infty}\int_{T/2}^{T} 1_{q\le Q(t)}\left(\lambda_q^{-1+\frac{2}{l}+\frac{3}{r}}\|u_q(t)\|_r\right)^l\,dt.
\end{aligned}$$

□

## References


[1] H. Bahouri, J. Chemin, and R. Danchin. *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*. Grundlehrender Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 343. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[2] J. Beale, T. Kato and A. Majda. *Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations*. Comm. Math. Phys., 94: 61–66, 1984.

[3] R. Caflisch, I. Klapper and G. Steele. *Remarks on singularities, dimension and energy dissipation for ideal hydrodynamics and MHD*. Comm. Math. Phys., 184: 443–455, 1997.

[4] M. Cannone, Q. Chen, and C. Miao. *A Losing estimate for the ideal MHD Equations with application to blow-up criterion*. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 38(6): 1847–1859, 2007.

[5] X. Chen and S. Gala. *A remark on the Beale–Kato–Majda criterion for the 3D MHD equations with zero kinematic viscosity*. Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, Vol. 28, No. 2: 209–214, 2012.

[6] Q. Chen, C. Miao and Z. Zhang. *On the regularity criterion of weak solution for the 3D viscous Magneto-Hydrodynamics equations*. Comm. Math. Phys., 284: 919–930, 2008.

[7] Q. Chen, C. Miao and Z. Zhang. *The Beale–Kato–Majda criterion for the 3D magneto-hydrodynamics equations*. Comm. Math. Phys., 275(3): 861–872, 2007.

[8] A. Cheskidov, P. Constantin, S. Friedlander and R. Shvydkoy. *Energy conservation and Onsager's conjecture for the Euler equations*. Nonlinearity, 21: 1233–1252, 2008.

[9] A. Cheskidov and M. Dai. *Norm inflation for generalized magneto-hydrodynamics system*. Nonlinearity, (28): 129–142, 2015.

[10] A. Cheskidov and M. Dai. *Determining modes for the surface quasi-geostrophic equation*. arXiv:1507.01075, 2015.

[11] A. Cheskidov, M. Dai, and L. Kavlie. *Determining modes for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations*. arXiv:1507.05908, 2015.

[12] A. Cheskidov and R. Shvydkoy. *The Regularity of Weak Solutions of the 3D Navier-Stokes Equations in $B^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}$*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 195: 159–169, 2010.

[13] A. Cheskidov and R. Shvydkoy. *A unified approach to regularity problems for the 3D Navier-Stokes and Euler equations: the use of Kolmogorov's dissipation range*. J, Math. Fluid Mech. 16: 263–273, 2014.

[14] M. Dai. *Regularity criterion and energy conservation for the supercritical Quasi-Geostrophic equation*. arXiv:1505.02293, 2015.

[15] M. Dai. *Regularity criterion for the 3D Hall-magneto-hydrodynamics*. arXiv:1507.05885, 2015.

[16] M. Dai, J. Qing, and M. E. Schonbek. *Norm Inflation for Incompressible Magneto-Hydrodynamics System in $\dot{B}^{-1,\infty}_{\infty}$*. Advances in Differential Equations, Vol. 16, No. 7–8, 725–746, 2011.

[17] G. Duvaut, J. L. Lions. *Inéquations en thermoélasticité et magnétohydrodynamique*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 46(4): 241–279, 1972.
[18] L. Escauriaza, G. Seregin and V. Sverak. *$L_{3,\infty}$-solutions of Navier-Stokes equations and backward uniqueness*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 58(2(350)): 3–44, 2003.
[19] I. Gallagher, G. S. Koch, and F. Planchon. *Blow-up of critical Besov norms at a potential Navier-Stokes singularity*. arXiv:1407.4156, 2014.
[20] L. Grafakos. *Modern Fourier analysis*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 250. Springer, New York, 2009.
[21] A. Hasegawa. *Self-organization processed in continuous media*. Adv. in Phys., 34:1–42, 1985.
[22] C. He and Y. Wang. *On the regularity criteria for weak solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations*. J. Differential Equations, 238(1): 1–17, 2007.
[23] C. He and Z. Xin. *On the regularity of weak solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations*. J. Differential Equations, 213: 235–254, 2005.
[24] T. Kato. *Strong $L^p$-solutions of Navier-Stokes equations in $R^m$ with applications to weak solutions*. Math. Z. 187: 471–480, 1984.
[25] H. Koch and D. Tataru. *Well-posedness for the Navier–Stokes equations*. Adv. Math., 157:22–35, 2001.
[26] Z. Lei and Y. Zhou. *BKM's criterion and global weak solutions for magnetohydrodynamics with zero viscosity*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 25: 575–583, 2009.
[27] P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset. *Recent developments in the Navier–Stokes problem*. Chapman and Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, 431. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.
[28] F. Planchon, *An Extension of the Beale-Kato-Majda Criterion for the Euler Equations*. Commun. Math. Phys., 232, 319–326, 2003.
[29] H. Politano, A. Pouquet and P. L. Sulem. *Current and vorticity dynamics in three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic turbulence*. Phys. Plasmas, 2: 2931–2939, 1995.
[30] M. Sermange and R. Temam. *Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 36(5): 635–664, 1983.
[31] J. Wu. *Regularity criteria for the generalized MHD equations*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 33(1-3): 285–306, 2008.
[32] J. Wu. *Regularity results for weak solutions of the 3D MHD equations*. Discrete. Contin. Dynam. Systems, 10: 543–556, 2004.
[33] T. Yoneda. *Ill-posedness of the 3D–Navier–Stokes equations in a generalized Besov space near $BMO^{-1}$*. Journal of Functional Analysis, 258, no. 10: 3376–3387, 2010.
[34] Y. Zhou. *Remarks on regularities for the 3D MHD equations*. Disc. Cont. Dyna. Sys., 12: 881–886, 2005.

Department of Mathematics, Stat. and Comp. Sci., University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607,USA
*E-mail address*: acheskid@uic.edu

Department of Mathematics, Stat. and Comp. Sci., University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607,USA
*E-mail address*: mdai@uic.edu